REMARKS

Claims 1-3, 8-9, 11-12, 15-18, 34-37, 44, 46, 49-50 and 54 are currently amended.

Claims 4, 10, 22-27, 47 and 52 are cancelled. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-21, 2846, 48-51 and 53-54 are

pending in the application. Claims 18-21, 28-33 and 38-43 are allowed. Claims 1-3, 5, 8, 9, 12-

 $17,\,22\text{-}27,\,34\text{-}37,\,44,\,46,\,48,\,50,\,51\,\,\text{and}\,\,54\,\,\text{were}\,\,\text{rejected}.\,\,\,\text{Claims}\,\,4,\,5,\,7,\,10,\,11,\,45,\,47,\,49,\,52$

and 53 were objected to.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants acknowledge and appreciate that claims 18-21, 28-33 and 38-43 are allowed.

Claim Objections

The Examiner objected to claims 4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 45, 47, 49, 52 and 53 as being dependent

from a rejected claim. The Examiner indicated that claims 4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 45, 47, 49, 52 and 53

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Additionally, on August 6, 2010, the Examiner contacted the offices of the undersigned

attorney to clarify that claims 4, 5, 7, 10, 11, 45, 47, 49, 52 and 53 were not rejected under 35

U.S.C. 112, ¶2, as being indefinite, and that the Office Action contained a typographical error to

that effect. Applicants acknowledge and appreciate the Examiner's correspondence in this

matter.

Claims Rejections Under 35 USC §112

Claim 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, \$\infty\$2, as being indefinite. Applicants respectfully

traverse this rejection. Applicants have amended claim 46 and respectfully submit that the

amendment overcomes the Examiner's §112 rejection.

10 of 12

Serial No. 10/807,833 Response to Final Office Action

Dated 05/21/10

Claims Rejections Under 35 USC §§102 & 103

The Examiner rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US

2002/0116699 (Amrhein). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 6, 8-9, 12-13, 15-17, 22-25, 27, 34-37, 44, 46, 48, 50-

51 and 54 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robert I. Pitts "Using Emacs for

Programming" 1993-2000 (Pitts). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

The Examiner rejected claims 14 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Pitts in view of US 2004/194060 (Ousterhout). Applicants respectfully traverse this

rejection.

In the current Office Action, dated May 21, 2010, the Examiner indicated multiple claims

with allowable/patentable subject matter. Applicants have incorporated the indicated

allowable/patentable subject matter into each of the currently pending independent claims. As

such, Applicants respectfully assert that all the pending claims are allowable by virtue of the

allowable subject matter claimed therein.

Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance,

and requests that they be allowed to issue. A Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicitied. The

Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney at (713) 934-4069 with any questions.

comments or suggestions relating to the referenced patent application.

11 of 12

Serial No. 10/807,833 Response to Final Office Action

Dated 05/21/10

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.

Date: August 23., 2010

By: /Jaison C, John/ Jaison C, John, Reg. No. 50,737 10333 Richmond, Suite 1100 Houston, Texas 77042 (713) 934-4069 (713) 934-7011 (facsimile) ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT(S)